	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/712,055	AKAHORI, HIROJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Linh M. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Telesz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 April 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5 & 8		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ine h.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/712,055

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was initiated by the Examiner for the purpose of clarifying the claim language, specifically claims 5 and 8, to place the application in condition for allowance; however, an office action was requested by the Applicant's representative, Mr. Andrew Telesz, instead.